

List of Figures

Chapter 1 Introduction

- Figure 1.1** *Overrun of actual costs of projects compared with cost estimated at time of appraisal* 2
- Figure 1.2** *Overrun of project completion times* 3

Chapter 2 Literature Survey

- Figure 2.1** *Risk Management life-cycle* 19
- Figure 2.2** *Risk Management framework* 20
- Figure 2.3** *A simple algorithm used for fault diagnosis* 42
- Figure 2.4** *An example of a decision tree* 45
- Figure 2.5** *Municipal Project Break-even - i vs. N* 53
- Figure 2.6** *An example of a spider diagram* 54
- Figure 2.7** *A five scenario illustration* 58
- Figure 2.8** *A hypothetical de minimis level* 62
- Figure 2.9** *Project duration probability* 67

Chapter 3 Questionnaire on Risk Management

- Figure 3.1** *Questionnaire construction decisions* 90
- Figure 3.2** *Framework of the questionnaire* 93
- Figure 3.3** *The spread of the company's reaction to the RMQ* 98

Chapter 4 Analysis of the Questionnaire

- Figure 4.1** *Proportions of primary responsibilities for all the replies* 101
- Figure 4.2** *A graph illustrating the percentage proportions of replies for the primary responsibilities between the two industries* 102
- Figure 4.3** *Spread of ages of the entire sample* 103
- Figure 4.4** *A 3-D bar chart to illustrate average no. of years in both industry and present company within each age class.* 104

Figure 4.5 <i>A measure of some safety facilities for the oil and construction industries, individually and together.</i>	110
Figure 4.6 <i>Spread of answers for question 11 and 12.</i>	116
Figure 4.7 <i>The averaged importance of certain characteristics for risk analysts, individually and when grouped.</i>	118
Figure 4.8 <i>Percentages of replies representing companies being risk averse, neutral or seeking</i>	119
Figure 4.9 <i>Methods used when responding to risk.</i>	123
Figure 4.10 <i>A graph illustrating how often the two methods of risk transfer are used</i>	128
Figure 4.11 <i>The average 'rank' values for the financial methods of transferring risk from the 'overall', construction and oil viewpoints</i>	129
Figure 4.12 <i>An 'overall' averaged view on insurers' extra provisions</i>	132
Figure 4.13 <i>A 3-D line diagram to illustrate the spread of answers for the three risk sharing methods</i>	134
Figure 4.14 <i>Summary of whether captives are the most manageable way of insuring risks</i>	136
Figure 4.15 <i>(Summary of) The mean values of the influential initial incentives for developing a 'captive'</i>	137
Figure 4.16 <i>Summary of the problematic financial risks when insuring with a 'captive'</i>	138
Figure 4.17 <i>Percentages of the types of risks that are retained</i>	140
Figure 4.18 <i>Mean values of the frequency for the methods used to finance retained risks</i>	143
Figure 4.19 <i>A summary of the average competencies of the separate industries, individually and together (i.e. overall) to reduce risk</i>	145
Figure 4.20 <i>A summary of the average competencies for the individual job categories in order to reduce risk</i>	146
Figure 4.21 <i>A summary of the mean ranks allotted to the five risk reducing methods</i>	148
Figure 4.22 <i>The spread of replies for the percentage of the total project cost</i>	

	<i>spent on the Management of the Project.</i>	150
Figure 4.23	<i>The overall cumulative frequency for Figure 4.22, i.e. replies for money spent on the Management of the Project</i>	150
Figure 4.24	<i>The spread of replies for the percentage of the total project cost spent on Risk Analysis.</i>	152
Figure 4.25	<i>The overall cumulative frequency for Figure 4.24, i.e. replies for money spent on Risk Analysis</i>	152
Figure 4.26	<i>Financial loss through unforeseen risks expressed as a percentage of the total project cost</i>	154
Figure 4.27	<i>A summary of the replies for the type of techniques used for risk analysis</i>	156
Figure 4.28	<i>Summary of how many respondents use risk analysis and at what stage of the project</i>	175
Figure 4.29	<i>A summary of the 'overall' replies, in percentages, of all six risks</i>	178
Figure 4.30	<i>A column diagram representing the distribution of ranked replies (in percentages) for the 'TIME' type of risk</i>	179
Figure 4.31	<i>A column diagram representing the distribution of ranked replies (in percentages) for the 'ENVIRONMENTAL' type of risk</i>	180

Chapter 5 Risk Analysis Techniques used by AHL in comparison with other Oil Companies

Figure 5.1	<i>A summary of the replies for the type of techniques used for risk analysis</i>	185
-------------------	---	-----

Chapter 6 Case Study: AH001 Installation QRA

Figure 6.1	<i>SMS framework pyramid</i>	199
Figure 6.2	<i>Field Location Map</i>	200
Figure 6.3	<i>Ivanhoe/ Rob Roy/ Hamish Field Development</i>	201
Figure 6.4	<i>Environmental data</i>	203
Figure 6.5	<i>Hazard Identification and analysis Process (Overview)</i>	204
Figure 6.6	<i>Hazard Identification and Analysis Process (Detailed)</i>	206

Figure 6.7 <i>The ALARP triangle for individual risk</i>	217
Figure 6.8 <i>Group risk criteria</i>	218
Figure 6.9 <i>Risk Management Flowchart</i>	221
Figure 6.10 <i>A typical example of a current event tree used by AHL for hydrocarbon releases</i>	226
Figure 6.11 <i>A real life worked example of a event tree used by AHL: Event I/21</i>	227

Chapter 7 Improvements to AHL Risk Analysis Process

Figure 7.1 <i>Event tree of I/21 with input values in the format for a Normal distribution, i.e. (mean : s.d)</i>	272
Figure 7.2 <i>An exploded graphic representation of the new event tree input data (from Figure 7.1)</i>	273
Figure 7.3 <i>An example of an Event Probability Profile (EPP)</i>	275
Figure 7.4 <i>A graph illustrating the percentage change against the number of iterations</i>	282
Figure 7.5 <i>Final event tree for I/21, complete with input and output distributions [format (mean : s.d)]</i>	284
Figure 7.6 <i>Input distribution of the 'initiating event'</i>	286
Figure 7.7 <i>Input distribution of one of the 'escalation nodes'</i>	286
Figure 7.8 <i>Input distribution of 'leak isolated and blowdown'</i>	287
Figure 7.9 <i>Input distribution of one of the more common 'total fatalities'</i>	287
Figure 7.10 <i>An EPP illustrating the output results of the three fatality categories</i>	289
Figure 7.11 <i>Output EPP of Total Fatalities</i>	290
Figure 7.12 <i>A bar graph displaying ranges of total fatalities with their associated probabilities</i>	291
Figure 7.13 <i>CEPP representation of Figure 7.11</i>	292