

## List of Tables

### Chapter 2 Literature Survey

<b>Table 2.1</b>	<i>Typical Risk Management Sequence</i>	18
<b>Table 2.2</b>	<i>The possible risks that could be encountered during a large project</i>	21
<b>Table 2.3</b>	<i>Quantitative Risk Analysis Techniques</i>	36
<b>Table 2.4</b>	<i>Net income projections</i>	40
<b>Table 2.5</b>	<i>States, probabilities and NPV's</i>	40
<b>Table 2.6</b>	<i>Design Requirements for a computer aid for risk analysis</i>	63
<b>Table 2.7</b>	<i>A small example of a simulation</i>	66
<b>Table 2.8</b>	<i>A typical example of a risk matrix</i>	77
<b>Table 2.9</b>	<i>Deciding which method of handling risks depending upon likelihood and severity</i>	78

### Chapter 3 Questionnaire on Risk Management

<b>Table 3.1</b>	<i>Breakdown of responses</i>	98
------------------	-------------------------------	----

### Chapter 4 Analysis of the Questionnaire

<b>Table 4.1</b>	<i>Table representing mean age against job responsibility</i>	104
<b>Table 4.2</b>	<i>Table portraying average years in industry against job responsibility</i>	105
<b>Table 4.3</b>	<i>Table representing average years with present company against job responsibility</i>	106
<b>Table 4.4</b>	<i>A table showing the average grades given for question 3 (parts a)-d) by the entire sample</i>	107
<b>Table 4.5</b>	<i>Table containing means and s.d. for questions 6-10</i>	113
<b>Table 4.6</b>	<i>Averages and standard deviations for the overall, construction and oil industries for question 21</i>	127
<b>Table 4.7</b>	<i>Summary of the response ratios, means and s.d.'s for the risk sharing methods</i>	134
<b>Table 4.8</b>	<i>A table to exhibit the spread of replies, their means and standard</i>	

	<i>deviations to expose the influential reasons for retaining risks</i>	141
<b>Table 4.9</b>	<i>Identification of the qualitative risk analysis techniques with their corresponding mean values</i>	157
<b>Table 4.10</b>	<i>Identification of the quantitative risk analysis techniques with their corresponding mean values</i>	158
<b>Table 4.11</b>	<i>A table to display the 'graded league' of the <u>FREQUENCY</u> of techniques available for all the divisions.</i>	162
<b>Table 4.12</b>	<i>A table to display the 'graded league' of the <u>SUCCESS</u> of techniques available for all the divisions</i>	163
<b>Table 4.13</b>	<i>Percentages of respondents who allocated ranks 1 and 2 for the frequency and success of qualitative techniques</i>	166
<b>Table 4.14</b>	<i>Percentages of respondents who allocated ranks 1 and 2 for the frequency and success of quantitative techniques</i>	167
<b>Table 4.15</b>	<i>Distribution of the eight items on accident (at the workplace) attribution for a representative sample of the Swedish population, a group of safety executives and the respondents from the RMQ.*</i>	171
<b>Table 4.16</b>	<i>The responses of the group of Swedish safety executives and RMQ respondents on items about the definition of acceptable risk and items on attitudes towards risks.</i>	173
<b>Table 4.17</b>	<i>A table summarising the means and order of importance of the six types of risk for the two industries separately and together (labelled 'overall')</i>	177

## **Chapter 5 Risk Analysis Techniques used by AHL in comparison with other Oil Companies**

<b>Table 5.1</b>	<i>A table illustrating the frequency and success differences in the qualitative risk analysis techniques used by AHL and Y and the Oil Industry as a whole</i>	189
<b>Table 5.2</b>	<i>A table illustrating the frequency and success differences in the quantitative risk analysis techniques used by AHL and Y and the Oil Industry as a whole</i>	192

## Chapter 6 Case Study: AH001 Installation QRA

<b>Table 6.1</b> <i>Relative risk ranking - Definition of Frequency and Consequence Categories</i>	207
<b>Table 6.2</b> <i>Risk Ranking Matrix</i>	208
<b>Table 6.3</b> <i>Risk Levels for the event tree presented in Figure 6.11</i>	229
<b>Table 6.4</b> <i>Hole size distributions for Separators/Vessels</i>	235
<b>Table 6.5</b> <i>Blow-out incidents for gas and oil</i>	242
<b>Table 6.6</b> <i>Delayed ignition probability for each breach size</i>	244

## Chapter 7 Improvements to AHL Risk Analysis Process

<b>Table 7.1</b> <i>A table presenting the best estimates and s.d.s for the branches in Figure 7.1, i.e Event I/21</i>	271
<b>Table 7.2</b> <i>A table containing some relevant data and the most divergent mean of the 10 runs for each of the 6 iteration amounts</i>	281
<b>Table 7.3</b> <i>The statistics received from @RISK for the simulation</i>	288

## Chapter 8 Conclusions

<b>Table 8.1</b> <i>Ordered list of the most successful techniques</i>	298
<b>Table 8.2</b> <i>Ordered lists of risk importance</i>	299