

Counter-imitation by  
John MacRae  
Colt. T. M. B. Reg.

S. B.

J. Laycock

Affirm JMS



The subject of this Essay is one on which there is considerable difference of opinion in the medical profession both as to its employment and the theory of its action. The practice of Counter-irritation had its origin nearly as early as the time when the first crude method of diagnosis began to call into requisition the Elements of the healing art. From the time of its first employment until the present, it has held its ground as a therapeutic agent of the highest value, while other systems of treatment once more highly extolled than it have not survived the light of modern scientific research. And it will be the object of this Thesis which lays no claim to originality of reasoning or deduction to endeavor

to show that in counter-irritation  
we possess an agent in the treat-  
-ment of disease which can be  
confidently relied upon and the  
theory of whose action is not so  
doubtful and uncertain as some  
seem to believe. There can be no  
doubt that in regard to counter-  
-irritation as well as to other once  
popular remedies, it is their abuse  
rather than their proper use which  
has brought them into disfavor.  
We need only to instance the  
cases of bleeding and mercury,  
the excessive use of which has in  
a great measure tended to their  
abandonment at the present day.  
Though it happens that there is a  
great amount of uncertainty in  
the profession in regard to counter-  
-irritation, the great body of evi-  
-dence seems to be in favor of

its employment

The term counter-irritation means that an irritant is applied to a part of the body for the purpose of producing an action at that part, which will have the effect of either stopping or controlling a morbid process going on in some other it may be distant part of the system. The old opinion regarding its action is, based upon the resemblance which its effect was supposed to have, to a phenomenon occurring in the course of some diseases and called *metastasis* that is the sudden departure of a disease from one part followed by its appearance in a distant part of the body. Thus it was thought that the reason why counter-irritation

acted beneficently in any given case was that the effect of the new action was to remove or at least diminish the old one and so blustering was resorted to and any benefit derived from its use in such case was ascribed to the experience of like effects from it in similar cases. Experience was had as in many other things liable to err sometimes if the true bearing of the case were not studied, and to this cause may be due the want of success and sometimes even bad effects which occurred from the inappropriate use of counterirritation. Again the success which must have attended its use when employed with the idea of experience, was owing to the fact that in those instances it was fortunately the best means of removing the pathological condition on which

the disease depended. For example  
if a blister be applied to the chest  
in the first or acute inflammatory stage  
of Pleurisy or Pericarditis, the chances  
are that the disease will be aggravated  
and perhaps serious mischief done,  
but if the acute stage be permitted  
to subside, blistering will then be  
found to favour the return of the  
part to the natural healthy condition.  
And also the cases in which blistering  
has been resorted to notwithstanding  
certain constitutional peculiarities  
which should preclude its application  
and where it has produced extensive  
suppuration and troublesome ulcers  
on the parts where it has been used  
thus bringing discredit on the sys-  
tem of counter-irritation generally. The  
real utility of the practice is generally  
admitted though there appears to be  
great difference of opinion as to the

as to the reasons given for its employ-  
ment and the explanation of its  
action is a still more fertile field  
for controversy in the ranks of the  
medical profession. The use of  
Counter-irritation has become considerably  
increased since the former practice  
of blood-letting has been abandoned  
to so large an extent. There are dif-  
ferent reasons given for this alteration  
in treatment such as a change of  
type in diseases, and constitutional  
causes arising from the debilitating  
effects of living in large towns with  
an overcrowded population, unhealthy  
pursuits &c. The good results ob-  
tained from this change of treatment  
are such that a return to the former  
practice would be attended with  
very unsatisfactory ones. In some  
acute diseases would this be especially

evident as in the case of Rheumatic  
fever where the abstraction of blood has  
been known to render the heat more  
susceptible to implication in the course  
of the malady, and of Pneumonia when  
venesection having been employed al-  
-though the urgency of the symptoms may  
have been relieved the recovery has  
been so correspondingly slow as to  
give rise to reasonable doubts as to the  
utility of ever employing it except  
the necessity of such a proceeding is  
very plainly indicated by the most  
urgent symptoms. On the other hand  
there is abundant evidence to prove  
that in Rheumatic fever the use of  
-lestering has been attended with the  
most beneficial results, while in the  
latter stages of internal inflammations  
equally good results have been obtain-  
-ed. Although Counter-irritation has  
to a considerable extent taken the

State of local and general bloodletting  
it is principally in the latter stages of  
acute inflammatory affections that it  
can be safely relied upon, although  
in a few subacute cases it may be  
employed at any time in the progress  
of the disease. It is not our purpose  
to enumerate here all the different  
diseases in which counter-irritation is  
an appropriate form of treatment, but  
to state shortly what we consider  
to be the different ways in which it may  
be consistently supported that its in-  
fluence is exerted on the pathologi-  
cal <sup>conditions</sup> which give occasion for its  
employment. The attention of the  
profession has been drawn to this  
subject recently by two London physi-  
cians D. Dickinson in the St. George's  
Hospital Reports, and Dr. Anstie in  
a paper read before the Medical

Anstet in 'The  
week' No. IX  
L.I. 1864.

Society of London, and as their con-  
-clusions and arguments are the  
same, a short account of one paper  
will serve as the exponent of both.  
Dr Anstet attacks the old doctrine of  
Counter-irritation with opprobriousness, and  
though he admits its utility in some  
cases he ridicules the reasons given  
for its employment by systematic  
writers, and considers it highly hu-  
-morous to suppose that anything  
which hurts the skin benefits the  
deeper tissues whither near the seat  
of irritation or distant from it  
But he also adds what detracts very  
considerably from the force of the  
assertions namely 'when there is no  
intelligible means of communication  
between the irritated and the diseased  
parts' For instance he looks upon  
the application of a blister to the

chest for a Pneumonia, or to the abdomen for relieving inflammation of the bowels as an absurdity, because there appears to be no direct communication by which an influence may travel from the irritated part to the seat of the disease. Now this in our opinion is one reason why blistering should in such cases be employed because if there were direct communication we are by no means sure but that the influence might rather be for harm than good upon the diseased part, for who would think of blistering an inflamed vein in the acute stage or in fact any inflamed tissue directly connected with the surface to be blistered?

Dr. Austin proposes to substitute the word Counterstimulation for Counterirritation as being more appropriate for expressing

the nature of the effect produced, but to this there is the great objection that there are various degrees of counter-irritation from mild stimulation up to cauterization and that this latter term comprehensively expresses them all, which counter-stimulation certainly does not. It will therefore be better that the old word counter-irritation should be retained.

According to Dr. Austin & Dickinson there are three sets of organs, by which when an irritant is applied to the surface, some influence is conveyed to parts situated at a distance from the seat of irritation, and these are the blood vessels, nerves and absorbents.

"First the blood vessels as far as they establish any direct communication between the surface and the deeper parts which we aim to influence and effect much though not always for good. When we place a blister

"upon the skin over an inflamed  
"joint we provoke a serous exudation  
"beneath the skin which may unload  
"the vessels of the joint of their serum  
"because these vessels communicate  
"with the superficial ones, and the  
"consequence of this may be that the  
"depleted deep vessels take up the  
"effused fluid in the cavity of the  
"joint Any indirect influence —  
through the bloodvessel is denied  
and he asks what analogy exists  
between the former case and blistering  
the skin to relieve an inflammation of  
the lung tissue Certainly there is no  
direct vascular communication in  
the latter and therefore no analogy  
between the two cases, but we will  
endeavour to show that the influence  
which cannot be denied is exerted  
upon the bloodvessels of the inflamed  
tissue  
is conveyed to them indirectly through  
x

"through the nervous system -  
"Secondly by the nerves - Its influence  
"must travel along the afferent nerve to  
"the nervous centre and the final effect  
"may be produced either in the centre  
"or in some other nerve which has com-  
"munication with that centre But the  
"effect here is much more often morbid  
"than beneficial. Triphural irritation  
"has in this way produced by reflex action  
"loss of power in distant muscles, loss of tone  
"in vessels, and even serious disease in  
"the nervous centre. Beneficial effects  
"however have followed the use of blis-  
"tering in neuralgia where its tendency  
"is when properly regulated to restore  
"nerve function.  
"Thirdly by the absorbents, but here  
"the action would be altogether bad  
"for he says the absorbents would draw  
"out into the system morbid matters  
"from the wound made." In certain  
"states of the constitution this might

happen, but it is difficult to understand that the products of Counter-irritation which in the most severe form of it can only be pus, could even if absorbed be the cause of any serious danger to the system. Indeed we have got to learn that the absorption into the blood of the morbid products of the simple nonspecific forms of malnutrition can be detrimental to the body as a whole or any of its individual parts.

We shall now proceed to discuss the various ways in which we suppose Counter-irritation exerts its power for good over the pathological conditions which necessitate its employment and

1<sup>st</sup> by the Bloodvessels. — The direct influence of an irritant applied to the skin is at first to cause a contraction of the capillaries of that

part speedily followed by a corresponding dilatation of these vessels, which, becoming engorged with blood, part with their serum into the surrounding tissue and an exudation takes place. When an ordinary mustard poultice is kept to the skin the usual time, the effect is, that the part is found to be of a highly red colour and rather painful, which circumstances are due to an increased flow and subsequent stagnation of blood, and the consequent stretching of the nervous filaments from its pressure in the dermoid layer of the skin. This principle of attracting, as it were blood to the surface operates especially in the cases of blisters placed over parts which have a direct vascular communication with the structure which is the seat of the morbid process we desire to control. The vessels of such structure are

The vessels of such structure are relieved of the excess of stagnant blood in them and recover tone in consequence. As an example we have the case of leucorrhoea in which a leucorrhoeal applied to the side of the neck very often checks the further progress of the malady. When the irritant is stronger or kept on for a longer time the congested capillaries of the skin part with their serum which distends the cuticle. There is here not only the attraction of blood to the surface but the discharge of serum from it, thus not only lessening the quantity of blood in parts contiguous to the seat of irritation, but also relieving such parts of serous effusion, if present, which is taken up by the vessels communicating with the blistered part. This is seen if we take the example given by Dr. Astruc of a blister applied over an inflamed joint, when the effusion

into its cavity is taken up by the deep vessels of the joint to compensate for the loss of serum from the superficial vessels which anastomose with the deeper ones. A similar effect is produced when we blister the surface over an inflamed bursa, and the action in both instances is intelligible by the direct vascular communication of the vessels. Counter-irritation also exercises its influence on distant organs - indirectly ~~and~~ <sup>by</sup> the blood vessels through the nervous system.

2<sup>nd</sup>. Through the Nerves - The effect on the nervous system of an irritant applied to the surface is, that the influence travels from the part by the peripheral nerves to the centre whence it is reflected by the efferent branches either of the cerebrospinal or sympathetic systems and may produce the end desired on parts supplied by these branches.

Counter-irritation may influence a part directly through the nerve which supplies it, or if the part is at a distance indirectly by reflex action. It will in this way influence through branches of the branches sensory-motor system structures at a distance from the seat of irritation, or it may also by reflex-action so stimulate to contraction the over-distended capillaries of a part the result of partial palsy of the vaso-motor nerves of these vessels, act to cause resolution of the inflammation; or it may directly produce favourable effects by gentle stimulation when there is deficient nerve force in the nerve-itself, or the nervous centre to which it goes.

(a). By direct stimulation of the nerve itself; as when we blister in Paralysis the nerve whose depressed state of nutrition is the cause of the disorder; the effect here when properly regulated is to stimulate the nerve and

and restore its functions for a time at least. And, also, when blistering is applied to the course of a nerve which supplies a muscle or group of muscles which have partially lost their power of contraction from some injury or disease, as in some cases of Rheumatism & in which stimulation of the nerve produces favourable results.

(C). By reflex-action of the sensory-motor system, when there is a hyper-aesthetic state of the part, due to the irritation of the nerve supplying it, but the pain from which is not confined to the spot but radiates over a larger area whose nervous supply is connected with the irritated nerve, as for example in cases of neuralgic toothache in which the painful sensation is not localised in any particular spot, but extends often over the whole side of the head. Here blistering behind the neck is found to be of much

benefit in removing the pain, and its influence through the cervico-occipital nerve must be reflected on to the sensory branches of the 5<sup>th</sup> pair. In muscular rheumatism we have another instance of this kind of effect from blistering. No doubt the primary cause of it is the presence of lactic acid in the system inducing this diathesis but the pain in the muscles is certainly alleviated for the time by the application of a blister over the part. There are many other conditions which give occasion for the employment of counter-irritation having the same object in view namely the relief of pain in sensory-motor nerves by reflex action and we have only to mention those of Gastralgia and Enterodynia in which blistering is so frequently resorted to for remedy.

(c) The third way in which Counter-irritation acts through the nervous system is by influencing

the Sympathetic, and it can do so in two ways, first by the reflection of an impression from the irritated part to the capillaries of the organ which is the seat of the morbid action we desire to control. As the Sympathetic nerve is the great centre of nutrition of the body, it is evident that no departure from the normal healthy state of the economy can take place without its being implicated in the process, so it must be seen that whenever we apply Counterirritation with the view of endeavouring to effect a change in the altered condition of any organic structure, it is this system of nerves that we desire to influence. Direct stimulation through the vaso-motor system produces at first contraction of the capillaries of the part, which is speedily followed by a corresponding dilatation of these vessels; but the stimulus may travel by sensory motor nerves to the centre

and thence be reflected on to branches of the sympathetic supplying the blood vessels of a distant organ and produce contraction and tone in these vessels so as to cause resolution of the inflammation. The contraction of the capillaries in this instance must be due to the stimulus reflected from the sensory nerves of the irritated part, to vaso-motor branches supplying these vessels and this is easily accounted for when we look at the communication existing between the two systems of nerves. As an illustration of this we will take the example of a secretory structure such as the Schneiderian membrane which in a chronically inflamed condition with its dilated and weakened capillaries pours out a secretion quite different in properties to the normal one. What we desire to do by counter-irritation here is to withdraw and to produce contraction and tone in the distended vessels, and this

is affected by a blister to the back of the ear or neck, the nerves of which convey the stimulus indirectly to the sympathetic branches supplying these capillaries. At the same time as the vessels recover their normal condition, the altered character of the nutrition in the gland is changed and its secretion is elaborated in a healthy state. Under this head also comes those cases in which one of the severer forms of leucorrhoea is treated namely cantharization, as for example in some joint diseases and also in caries of the bones, in the first stages of which it is often successful in cutting short the further progress of the disease. Blistering with this intention is resorted to in many other cases such as Chronic Conjunctivitis, ozaena &c, and along with the use of other appropriate remedies its employment is still, notwithstanding the adverse opinion regarding it which has lately sprung up, held in considerable confidence by the

profession. The second way in which Counter-irritation acts through the Sympathetic is by that property of this system of nerves by which the reflection of an impression from one part of the nervous system to another, or as it may be called the reciprocal feeling between different nerves and therefore the parts supplied by those nerves is brought into action, <sup>and</sup> which is named Sympathy. Examples of this influence are seen in the cases where the pouring of cold water on the head restores the brain exhausted by long continued inflammation and that of the dashing of cold water on the face removing an hysterical fit or rousing from a syncope. The Sympathies between organs are numerous and of great importance in regard to the line of treatment to be adopted in each case; how valuable aid may be frequently derived from Counter-irritation. A functional disorder of the uterus is very often the source from which spring

irritation in the alimentary canal causing vomiting or, the result of sympathy which exists between the two organs. In such a case blistering behind the sacrum - should be had recourse to with the object of breaking as it were the chain of conditions by which the one part sympathized with the other. Epileptic fits have often their origin in irritation of the stomach and bowels and here blistering would be of benefit in the same way. In those numerous cases of neuralgic pain in joints and other parts occurring in women of an hysterical temperament where there is no evidence of local disease counter-irritation may be with-  
drawing the attention or sympathy from the over-sensitive state of the part and restore the nerves of sensation to their normal state. There can be no doubt but that this is an important way in which the application of an external irritant acts for good in the treatment of the many diseases and alterations of nervous function in which it is so often employed.

3<sup>d</sup> By the Absorbents - It is evident we cannot influence this set of vessels directly without at the same time affecting the blood-vessels of a part which we desire to act upon through Counter-irritation. Absorption is not entirely confined to the absorbents alone, for the Veins are found to possess this property also. When the veins and capillaries of a part are distended and the circulation in them slow, absorption is at its minimum, but when the circulation in these vessels is altered through contraction and tone in them absorption is at its highest stage. Therefore when we desire to effect the removal of our effused fluid by means of blistering, the influence of the latter must be conveyed to the veins and capillaries of the part as well as to the absorbents which are stimulated to increased action. There are two kinds of absorption that of fluids, and that of solids which is called interstitial absorption. When a serous membrane such as the pleura takes on

the inflammatory process there ensues an exudation from the distended Capillaries and small blood vessels of the membrane and an effusion into the cavity of the Chest results. It is plain that if we wish to effect the absorption of this fluid we must influence not only the absorbents whose action is for the time in abeyance but also the vessels whose over-distension is the cause of the effusion. There would be no use in this case of trying to remove the effect if the cause which gave rise to it were allowed to remain and fortunately Counter-irritation acts when employed for this condition in both ways, by removing the morbid product and that which gave rise to it. It stimulates the capillaries of the part to increased contraction and tone, thereby preventing any further exudation, and at the same time stimulates the absorbents to increased activity. Interstitial absorption or that of solids is brought into play when we wish to effect

the removal of the solid products of inflammation by Counter-irritation. This is seen in the case of enlarged scrofulous lymphatic glands, which are treated by the external application of Iodine of Potash with the view of causing their absorption which can only be done by stimulation of the absorbents connected with such glands. The use of external irritations for the purpose of causing interstitial absorption is limited in its application, and is seldom had recourse to with the view of removing solid material, as there are other means better adapted for this purpose now employed. In the case also of Effusion into Synovial Cavities such the joints and Bursae, after the acute stage of inflammation has subsided, Bleeding has often the best effect in inducing absorption of the fluid contents.

In some one of these three ways through the blood vessels, nerves or absorbents, can the influence of Counter-irritation in all cases be satisfactorily explained. Whether we apply a simple rubefacient for the purpose of withdrawing pain from a group of muscles in chronic pleuritis, or a mustard poultice to the chest for the pain, difficulty of respiration and dyspnoea in a Bronchitis, or a blister behind the ear for a conjunctivitis, or the canthar for vertebral disease, or a seton for Epilepsy; the action in all and every case can be satisfactorily explained through the agency of the blood-vessels, nerves and absorbents. As we have already stated its action in every case is not confined to one of these three sets of organs alone, and it may exert its influence through two of them and sometimes the three. In the instance of a pleuritic effusion the influence

must be first exerted on the nervous filaments which cause contraction of the bloodvessels, and then stimulation of the absorbents follows thereby inducing the removal of the fluid. Of the three different kinds of organs through which Counter-irritation acts, there can be little doubt but it is the nerves which bear the principal part in conveying and exerting its influence and it is the Sympathetic in the great majority of cases, especially in those in which it is desired to alter nutrition that is acted upon. We know how quickly a train of thought or reasoning may be changed by a new impression, and also how a mental impression such as joy, fear or anger may be the cause of a sudden alteration or stoppage of the secretion of an important organ. The suspension of the Catarrhus from a fright, the accession of jaundice from the same cause, and the alteration of the milk

of a nursing mother from paper and  
examples of this. If a mental idea  
can in this way exert an influence  
on organs and their secretions, may  
we not also infer that external bodily  
impressions influence the functions of  
organic life. As a mental habit  
may be changed by a new idea,  
so may an organic habit be altered  
by an external impression. Of an  
organic function which is made to  
feel the force of habit, that of the  
stomach is an instance, when the  
disordered action may continue with  
regularity till some slight impression  
it may be from an internal remedy  
or an external irritant is made  
upon it, which will cause a break  
in the habit and <sup>set</sup> everything right.  
In this instance it must be invol-  
-untary as in the former voluntary  
attention that is withdrawn by the  
stimulus from that which previously  
occupied it in either case and

and the force is directed into some  
other channel

In conclusion we will  
allude to one other explanation  
given by D. French of the modus operandi  
of Counter-irritation. He gives the cir-  
-cumstances of its action in this way,  
"that in cases of deep-seated and long-  
-standing disease, if an injury be infli-  
-cted upon the skin such as by the  
"cautery the diseased skin separates  
"from the living and we can depend  
"upon the healing process being carried  
"on till cicatrization is complete, and  
"during the time this separation is  
"going on the original morbid process  
"is observed first to diminish as un-  
-derstood evident by the diminution of  
"inflammatory products and pain and  
"by the gradual restoration of the  
"structures morbidly altered to a  
"natural condition." He ascribes the  
beneficial results obtained from Counter-  
-irritation to the "diversion caused by

The Lancet  
No. XI. vol. I. 1869.

the reparative process of the injury inflicted by it." This is no more than saying that the abnormal conditions in the diseased part is induced to take on healthy action again through stimulation of the reparative process in the part, but how can this happen we would ask except by influencing the sympathetic which is the great-regulating power of nutrition, no divergence of which one way or another can take place without its co-operation. The good results which spring from the appropriate use of counter-irritation justify the confidence and esteem in which it is held in practice, and though the rationale of its action in some cases may appear at first sight to be rather obscure, on a close look it will not be difficult to explain

how its *modus operandi* is effected  
and to reconcile it with the laws  
of physiological and pathological  
action. This has been our object  
in the preceding pages and if  
we have done anything however  
imperfectly in furtherance of it we  
can say with satisfaction *aliquid  
male fuit nos in illo!*

(Finis.)